

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/567,650	04/17/2006	Karin Scherer	0579-1117	2459
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 06/10/201 HOMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison S		PADGETT, MARIANNE L		
Suite 500 Alexandria, V	A 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , ,			1715	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/10/2010	EI ECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

## Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/567,650	SCHERER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARIANNE L. PADGETT	1715	

The amendment document filed on <u>09 March 2010</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following liter(s) is required

requirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendal item(s) is required.	nent document to be compliant, correction of the following
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMEI   1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include mark  B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined  C. Other <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	rings.
2. Abstract:     A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR     B. Other	3 1.72.
"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1  B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing	he top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or 1.121(d). g correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings s, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.
<ul> <li>C. Each claim has not been provided with the of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the number by using one of the following status</li> </ul>	xt of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status ne status of every claim must be indicated after its claim identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (J), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended).
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not sig See Continuation Sheet	ned in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by	37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
<ol> <li>Applicant is given no new time period if the non-complia filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the rentire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.</li> </ol>	
(including a submission for a request for continued exami amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CF	following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendmen nation (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental R 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a , the correction required is only the corrected section of the
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136 amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Q	6(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final uayle action.
filed in response to a Quayle action; or	nt amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental
/Marianne L. Padgett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1715	

<sup>--</sup> The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324)

Application No. 10/567,650

Continuation of 1(c) Other:

The directions for the entry of the heading -- What is claimed is: -- recite the wrong page, as the claims began on page 10 of the original specification, not on page 9.

The rest of the amendments to the specification appear to have correct instructions.

## Continuation of 5 Other:

While reviewing the claims, the examiner noted the following noncompliance issues applicants may wish to consider: (1) the need to consider scope of "fluorocarbons" (e.g. organic &/or inorganic, fluorocarbons containing other atoms, such as H, O, N, Si, etc.) & whether support for the range of refractive indexes of such scope was present, noting new

claim 21's range of n= 1.35-1.39 is not supported by the two examples n= 1.35 for PTFE at 630 nm (page 1, background) & amorphous fluorocarbon layer deposited by a specific techniques from specific materials having n on the order of 1.39 at 600 nm (page 9);

(2); that dependent claim 5, still lacks a clear relationship between the gas compounds claimed therein & claims 1 & 4 from which it depends;

(3) claim 17 now appears to be identical to claim 3 (if this is corrected review dependence of claim 19).

/MLP/dictation software 6/5/2010

0/0/2010